

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, the following remarks are respectfully submitted. Claims 1-2 have been amended, and claims 3-6 and 8-11 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Support for the amendments to claims 1 and 2 is found, for example, at paragraphs [0041] and [0049] of the specification. No new matter is presented in this Response.

DOUBLE PATENTING:

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 10-15 of copending Application No. 10/735,819.

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-21 of copending Application No. 10/735,850.

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-21 of copending Application No. 11/432,479.

Since copending Application Nos. 10/735,819, 10/735,850, and 11/432,479 have not yet issued as patents, and since claims 1-2 of the instant application have not yet been indicated as allowable, it is believed that any submission of a Terminal Disclaimer or arguments as to the non-obvious nature of the claims would be premature. MPEP § 804(I)(B). As such, it is respectfully requested that the applicants be allowed to address any obviousness-type double patenting issues remaining once the rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102 are resolved.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102:

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Sawabe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,031,962).

Claim 1

It is respectfully submitted that Sawabe does not anticipate each of the recited limitations of claim 1. Claim 1 has been amended and now recites: "...a reproduction unit which

reproduces the clip AV streams according to clip information corresponding to the clip AV streams provided in a separate area of the information storage medium from that of the interleaved clip AV streams, wherein each unit of clip information comprises an entry point map comprising information on entry points of a corresponding one of the clip AV streams for random access, and information on whether each of the entry points is an angle change point, wherein the angle change point is a point through which the motion picture is reproduced from one angle to another angle, wherein the reproduction unit jumps at the entry point of the clip AV streams from one angle to another angle if the entry point is the angle change point using the information on entry points and the information on whether each of the entry points is an angle change point."

Sawabe does not disclose these recited features of claim 1. The interleaved units disclosed by Sawabe in FIG. 6 do not include an entry point map comprising information on entry points of a corresponding one of the clip AV streams for random access, as recited by claim 1. Further, the interleaved units disclosed by Sawabe do not include information on whether each of the entry points is an angle change point, wherein the angle change point is a point through which the motion picture is reproduced from one angle to another angle, as recited by claim 1. Furthermore, Sawabe does not disclose a reproduction unit which "jumps at the entry point of the clip AV streams from one angle to another angle if the entry point is the angle change point using the information on entry points and the information on whether each of the entry points is an angle change point," as recited by claim 1. The reproducing apparatus S2 disclosed by Sawabe in FIG. 12 and described at col. 14, line 45-63 does not anticipate the reproducing unit recited by claim 1, which performs the jumping operation recited by claim 1. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 1 should be withdrawn for at least these reasons.

Claim 2

Claim 2 depends on claim 1. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 2 should be withdrawn for at least the same reasons that the rejection of claim 1 should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION:

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Response, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 503333.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: 2/6/08

By: 

Michael D. Stein
Registration No. 37,240

1400 Eye St., N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 216-9505
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510